

Original  
ArticleBasic  
Science

# Infestation Level of *Callosobruchus maculatus* on Cowpea using Different Particle Sizes of *Eugenia aromatic* and *Piper guineense* Powders

Joy E IDOKO <sup>1</sup>, Jacobs M ADESINA <sup>2\*</sup>

## ABSTRACT [ENGLISH/ANGLAIS]

Number of eggs population was used to measure initial infestation of cowpea seeds treated with *P. guineense* and *E. aromatic* powder. The treatment involves the use of *P. guineense* and *E. aromatic* of particle sizes of 150µm and 300 µm at the rate of 0.4g per 20g of cowpea seeds infested with different insect densities (0, 1, 3, 5, 8 and 10 pairs). The eggs laid on the seeds increased with increase in insect density. Significantly more eggs were laid on untreated seeds compared to seeds treated with botanical powder. Adult emergence increased significantly with increase in insect density on the seeds with *P. guineense*, irrespective of the particle size of powder applied. In *E. aromatic* treated seeds there was no adult emergence in seeds treated with 150µm particle size „except in the seeds with highest insect population treated with 300µm. seeds treated with 10 pairs of insect with 300µm also had low number of adult emergence irrespective of the particles size. The percentage weight loss of the treated and untreated seeds increased with increase in insect population in *P. guineense* treated seeds. However, no seed weight loss was recorded in 150 µm and 300µm particle sizes of *E. aromatic* except in the seeds infested with 10 pairs. Also the seed weight loss was significantly higher than that of seeds infested with different levels of insect population. This study shows the dependent of insect population and botanical particle size on control of *C. maculatus*.

**Keywords:** Botanical powders, *Callosobruchus maculatus*, *Eugenia aromatic*, insect density, *Piper guineense*, particle size

## RÉSUMÉ [FRANÇAIS/FRENCH]

Numéro de la population des œufs a été utilisé pour mesurer l'infestation initiale des graines de niébé traitées avec *P. guineense* et de la poudre aromatique *E.* Le traitement implique l'utilisation de *P. E. guineense* et aromatique de tailles de particules de 150 µm et 300 µm, à raison de 0,4 g pour 20 g de graines de niébé infestés avec des densités d'insectes différentes (0, 1, 3, 5, 8 et 10 paires). Les œufs pondus sur les semences a augmenté avec l'augmentation de la densité des insectes. Oeufs significativement plus ont été portées sur les semences non traitées par rapport aux semences traitées avec de la poudre botanique. L'émergence des adultes a augmenté de façon significative avec l'augmentation de la densité des insectes sur les graines de *P. guineense*, quelle que soit la taille des particules de poudre appliquée. Dans *E. aromatiques* semences traitées n'y avait pas l'émergence des adultes dans les semences traitées avec la taille des particules 150 µm, sauf dans les graines dont la population est le plus élevé d'insectes traitées avec 300 µm. semences traitées avec 10 paires d'insectes avec 300 µm avait aussi faible nombre d'émergence des adultes indépendamment de la taille des particules. Le pourcentage de perte de poids des semences traitées et non traitées a augmenté avec l'augmentation de la population d'insectes dans les graines de *P. guineense* traités. Toutefois, aucune perte de poids de semences a été enregistrée en 150 µm et 300 µm de tailles de particules aromatiques *E.* sauf dans les graines infestées avec 10 paires. Aussi la perte de poids de semences a été significativement plus élevé que celui des semences infestées avec différents niveaux de populations d'insectes. Cette étude montre la charge d'populations d'insectes et la taille des particules botanique sur le contrôle de *C. maculatus*.

**Mots-clés:** Botanique poudres, *Callosobruchus maculatus*, aromatique *Eugenia*, la densité des insectes, *Piper guineense*, la taille des particules

### Affiliations:

<sup>1</sup> Department of Crop, Soil & Pest Management, Federal University of Technology, P. M. B. 704, Akure, Ondo State, NIGERIA.

<sup>2</sup> Department of Crop, Soil & Pest Management Technology, Rufus Giwa Polytechnic, P. M. B. 1019, Owo, Ondo State, NIGERIA.

Address for Correspondence/  
Adresse pour la Correspondance:  
moboladesina@yahoo.com

Accepted/Accepté:  
September, 2012

Citation: Idoko JE, Adesina JM. Infestation Level of *Callosobruchus maculatus* on Cowpea using Different Particle Sizes of *Eugenia aromatic* and *Piper guineense* Powders. World Journal of Engineering and Pure and Applied Science 2012;2(5):156-60.

## INTRODUCTION

Due to the low availability and consumption of animal protein in tropical and subtropical regions of the world, cowpea serves as a major source of dietary protein [1]. In

storage, cowpea grains suffer greatly from *Callosobruchus maculatus* (F.) which is a cosmopolitan pest of stored grains in the tropics and subtropics [2]. Severely damaged seeds are disfigured with eggs and riddled

with adult exit holes and consequently reduced the nutritional and economic values of the grains.

Throughout tropical Africa, *C. maculatus* causes substantial loss of cowpea in storage annually [3]. It accounts for over 90% of the damage done to stored cowpea seeds by insects [4]. Because of its high incidence, synthetic insecticides have been considered the most effective and accessible means of control. Resistance and toxicity problems of the synthetic insecticides have resulted in the necessity of finding more effective and healthier alternatives.

There are alternative methods to manage pests, which, in the economic context, are effective without presenting the risks associated with the use of conventional pesticides. One method consists of using plants with insecticidal properties that can be used as powder, extracts or oils [5]. Given the array of selective pressures that pests exert on plant, it is obvious that the plant kingdom offers a tremendous diversity of bioactive phytochemicals that can serve as complementary or alternative to the conventional synthetic pesticides. Botanic insecticides have been used traditionally in developing countries to control pests of stored grains, such as Coleoptera [6]. Consequently, the objective of the study is to assess the different levels of insect infestation on control of *C. maculatus* using different particle sizes of *Piper guineense* and *Eugenia aromatic* leaves powder.

## MATERIALS AND METHODS

### Study Area

The study was carried out in the Pest Management Laboratory of Crop, Soil & Pest Management Technology Department, Rufus Giwa Polytechnic, Owo, Ondo State Nigeria (Latitude 5° 12' N and Longitude 5° 36' E).

### Culturing of insects

Initial insects (*C. maculatus*) used for the study were obtained from established culture from Entomology Laboratory of Crop, Soil and Pest Department of Federal University of Technology, Akure, Ondo State, Nigeria. The insects were sub-cultured on Sokoto white local cowpea cultivar (Susceptible variety) in Kilner jar at ambient conditions (32±0.64°C and 68±3% R.H.) in the Pest Management Laboratory of Crop, Soil and Pest Management Technology Department, Rufus Giwa Polytechnic, Owo, Ondo State, Nigeria.

### Source of Cowpea Seeds

The Ife brown cowpea cultivar used for the study was obtained from International Institute of Tropical

Agriculture (IITA), Ibadan, Nigeria. Prior to use, the cowpea seeds were disinfested by keeping in deep freezer for 2 weeks and left to equilibrate at room temperature for 12-24 hrs and thereafter 20g of cowpea seeds were weighed using digital weighing balance into small plastic containers.

### Effect of initial *C. maculatus* Infestation on Control by *Eugenia aromatic* and *Piper guineense* Powders

Freshly (0-2 days old) emerged adults of *C. maculatus* from laboratory culture were introduced into 20g clean Ife brown cowpea seeds treated separately with 0.4g of *Eugenia aromatic* and *piper guineense* powders sieved to particle sizes of 150µm and 300µm at 1, 3, 5, 8 and 10 insect pairs respectively. The morphology of the insect proboscis was used for sexual differentiation, that of the male is rough and of a higher caliber than that of the female [7].

The powders were thoroughly mixed with the grains to ensure proper coating of the seeds. The insects were allowed 8 days to oviposit and dead insects were removed. Thereafter, eggs laid by female beetles on cowpea seeds were counted and recorded. The various treatments were left on the laboratory workbench and examined daily for adult emergence. The emerged adults were removed and counted daily for 15 days

### Experimental Design

The experiment was laid out in Completely Randomized Design (CRD) and all the treatments were replicated 4 times. Control experiment was also set up with no insect and plant powder.

### Data Collection and Analysis

Data were collected after sieving the insects through a 3 mm sieve. The data collected include the number of eggs laid, adult emergence and percentage weight loss and were subjected to analysis of variance (ANOVA) with the Statistical Analysis System (SAS) program [8]. Data on percentage weight loss, egg count and adult emergence were arcsine transformed and square root transformed before subjecting it to analysis. Treatment means were separated using Turkey's test at 5% level of probability.

## RESULTS

Mean number of eggs laid on cowpea seeds treated with different particle sizes of *E. aromatic* and *P. guineense* plant powder showed significant ( $P < 0.05$ ) increase with increase in the level of insect population (Table 1). . In comparison, plant powders applied at 150 µm particle

size significantly ( $P < 0.05$ ) inhibits oviposition by female beetles compared to plant powder applied at 300 $\mu$ m.

**Table 1:** This table shows mean number of eggs laid on cowpea seeds infested with different level of *C. maculatus* population.

Pairs	<i>P. guineense</i>		<i>E. aromatica</i>		Control
	150 $\mu$ m	300 $\mu$ m	150 $\mu$ m	300 $\mu$ m	
0 pair	0.0 <sup>a</sup>	0.0 <sup>a</sup>	0.0 <sup>a</sup>	0.0 <sup>a</sup>	0.0 <sup>a</sup>
1 pair	1.6 <sup>b</sup>	2.9 <sup>b</sup>	0.8 <sup>b</sup>	1.8 <sup>b</sup>	3.6 <sup>b</sup>
3 pairs	5.2 <sup>c</sup>	5.6 <sup>c</sup>	1.9 <sup>c</sup>	2.5 <sup>c</sup>	9.5 <sup>c</sup>
5 pairs	6.1 <sup>d</sup>	7.1 <sup>d</sup>	2.1 <sup>d</sup>	2.7 <sup>d</sup>	15.6 <sup>d</sup>
8 pairs	8.5 <sup>e</sup>	8.5 <sup>e</sup>	6.7 <sup>e</sup>	9.4 <sup>e</sup>	18.7 <sup>e</sup>
10 pairs	11.0 <sup>f</sup>	11.4 <sup>f</sup>	9.2 <sup>f</sup>	12.3 <sup>f</sup>	16.8 <sup>f</sup>

Mean in each column, the same letters are not significantly different at 5% level of probability by Turkey's test

The number of emerged adult was presented in Table 2. The number of emerged adults increased significantly ( $p < 0.05$ ) with increase in number of *C. maculatus* population in cowpea seeds treated with *P. guineense* and control treatment; while in cowpea seeds treated with various particle sizes of *E. aromatic* completely suppressed adult emergence except treatment involving 10 pairs of *C. maculatus* and 300 $\mu$ m particle size (3.6). Meanwhile, *P. guineense* applied at 150 $\mu$ m shows promising effect than 300 $\mu$ m in suppressing adult emergence.

**Table 2:** This table shows mean number of emerged adults from cowpea seeds infested with different level of *C. maculatus* population

Pairs	<i>P. guineense</i>		<i>E. aromatica</i>		Control
	150 $\mu$ m	300 $\mu$ m	150 $\mu$ m	300 $\mu$ m	
0 pair	0.0 <sup>a</sup>	0.0 <sup>a</sup>	0.0 <sup>a</sup>	0.0 <sup>a</sup>	0.0 <sup>a</sup>
1 pair	1.6 <sup>b</sup>	2.2 <sup>b</sup>	0.0 <sup>a</sup>	0.0 <sup>a</sup>	2.8 <sup>b</sup>
3 pairs	4.4 <sup>c</sup>	5.5 <sup>c</sup>	0.0 <sup>a</sup>	0.0 <sup>a</sup>	4.9 <sup>c</sup>
5 pairs	4.7 <sup>d</sup>	6.1 <sup>d</sup>	0.0 <sup>a</sup>	0.0 <sup>a</sup>	6.9 <sup>d</sup>
8 pairs	7.2 <sup>e</sup>	7.5 <sup>e</sup>	0.0 <sup>a</sup>	0.0 <sup>a</sup>	8.3 <sup>e</sup>
10 pairs	8.5 <sup>f</sup>	9.5 <sup>f</sup>	0.0 <sup>a</sup>	3.6 <sup>b</sup>	9.8 <sup>f</sup>

Mean in each column, the same letters are not significantly different at 5% level of probability by Turkey's test

Table 3 shows the mean percentage weight loss on cowpea seeds infested with different levels of *C. maculatus* and particle sizes of plant powders. Similar trend which was observed in adult emergence was also recorded for percentage weight loss. The mean percentage weight loss on cowpea seeds treated with

different particle sizes of *P. guineense* and insect population increased significantly ( $P < 0.05$ ) with increase in number of *C. maculatus* population used to infest the cowpea seeds and a similar observation was also recorded in the control. However, in cowpea seeds treated with 150 $\mu$ m particle size of *E. aromatica* there was no weight loss recorded irrespective of insect population, but in cowpea seeds treated with 300 $\mu$ m particle size of *E. aromatica*, seed weight loss was significantly higher at 5 pairs insect population (2.6%). It was generally observed that seed weight loss was significantly ( $P < 0.05$ ) higher in control than on treated cowpea seeds.

**Table 3:** This table shows mean percentage weight loss from cowpea seeds infested with different level of *C. maculatus* population

Pairs	<i>P. guineense</i>		<i>E. aromatica</i>		Control
	150 $\mu$ m	300 $\mu$ m	150 $\mu$ m	300 $\mu$ m	
0 pair	0.0 <sup>a</sup>	0.0 <sup>a</sup>	0.0 <sup>a</sup>	0.0 <sup>a</sup>	0.0 <sup>a</sup>
1 pair	0.1 <sup>b</sup>	0.9 <sup>b</sup>	0.0 <sup>a</sup>	0.0 <sup>a</sup>	3.9 <sup>b</sup>
3 pairs	2.3 <sup>c</sup>	2.4 <sup>c</sup>	0.0 <sup>a</sup>	0.0 <sup>a</sup>	4.8 <sup>b</sup>
5 pairs	2.7 <sup>d</sup>	3.0 <sup>d</sup>	0.0 <sup>a</sup>	0.0 <sup>a</sup>	5.7 <sup>b</sup>
8 pairs	3.9 <sup>e</sup>	4.5 <sup>e</sup>	0.0 <sup>a</sup>	0.0 <sup>a</sup>	8.3 <sup>b</sup>
10 pairs	4.9 <sup>f</sup>	5.6 <sup>f</sup>	0.0 <sup>a</sup>	2.6 <sup>b</sup>	8.7 <sup>b</sup>

Mean in each column, the same letter are not significantly different at 5% level of probability by Turkey's test

## DISCUSSION

The result from this study has shown that oviposition and adult emergence by *C. maculatus* differ significantly with insect density. An increase in insect density significantly caused an increase in the seed weight loss. Percentage damage and weight loss were in positive correlation with the total number of bruchids because treatments with high total number of bruchids inflicted more damage and caused weight loss. These results are in accordance with other reports on a positive relationship between bruchid density and damage on cowpea [9-12]. At the highest insect density, *C. maculatus* laid significantly more eggs on cowpea seeds and a similar observation was reported by Jackai and Asante [13] when different densities of *C. maculatus* were used to screen cowpea seeds. It was also observed that percentage adult emergence increased with increase in insect density. It was also observed that the number of eggs laid, adult emergence and seed weight loss were higher in control treatment compared to treated seeds. The result equally showed that particle size had significant effect on the insecticidal activity of *E.*

*aromatica* and *P. guineense* in the control of *C. maculatus* and damage to stored cowpea. *E. aromatica* and *P. guineense* powder of particle size 150 $\mu$ m generally showed greater insecticidal activity against *C. maculatus* than when the particle size was 300 $\mu$ m. Adult beetles were more rapidly killed which might have led to significant reduced oviposition. This was consistent with the findings of Olotuah *et al* [14; 15]. Ogunwolu and Idowu [16] reported that the more finely ground powder (Particle size 150 $\mu$ m) of root bark of *Zanthoxylum zanthoxyloides* (Lam) Watern and seed of *Azadirachta indica* A. Juss. was more active insecticidally to *C. maculatus* than more coarse (particle size 2mm) powder. Ofuya and Dawodu [17] similarly reported that the most finely ground powder (particle size 212 $\mu$ m) of *P. guineense* was more active insecticidally to *C. maculatus* than the most coarse (particle size 1mm) powder. Particle size affect dispersion and the finer the particles, the more uniformly the dust will coat treated grains and storage container thus enhancing contact with targeted insects. This shows that *E. aromatica* and *P. guineense* may have some insecticidal properties which might have significantly reduced the number of eggs laid and adult emergence from cowpea seeds, correspondingly leading to a decrease in seed weight loss. Similar to this observation, many workers have reported the efficacy of the plant powder for insecticidal activity on *C. maculatus* [18; 19]. The reduction in oviposition by *C. maculatus* may be due to inhibition of oviposition or deterrence [20; 21]. It was generally observed that irrespective of particle size of *E. aromatica* and *P. guineense*, the number of eggs laid, adult emergence and percentage seed weight loss as a result of feeding activities by larvae of *C. maculatus* increased significantly with increase in insect population density on the cowpea seeds. Meanwhile, the reduction in adult emergence could either be due to egg mortality or larval mortality or even reduction in the hatching of the eggs, as a result of the ovicidal or larvicidal properties of the plant powders. It has been reported that larvae hatched from the eggs of *C. maculatus* species must penetrate the seeds to survive [22].

## CONCLUSION

Results obtained from this study show that eggs laid, adult emergence and seed weight loss were insect population dependent and *E. aromatica* plant powder applied at 0.4g/20g of cowpea can be used for effective control of *C. maculatus*, irrespective of infestation level. Also, the toxicity of tested plant powder increased as the particle size decreased.

## REFERENCES

- [1] Ofuya TI. Use of wood ash, dry chilli pepper fruits and onion scale leaves for reducing *Callosobruchus maculatus* (F.) damage in cowpea seeds during storage. Journal of Agricultural Science Cambridge 1986;107:467-8.
- [2] Ofuya TI. Biology, Ecology and Control of Insect Pests of stored Legumes in Nigeria. In: Pests of Stored cereals and Pulses in Nigeria: Biology, Ecology and Control, Edited by T. I. Ofuya and N. E. S. Lale, Dave Collins Publication, Nigeria.2001; 24–58.
- [3] IITA Research brief, 9: International Institute of Tropical Agriculture, Ibadam, Nigeria.1989
- [4] Caswell GH. Damage to stored cowpea in the northern part of Nigeria. Samaru Journal of Agricultural Research 1981;1:1–11.
- [5] Mazzonetto F, Vendramim EJ. Efeito de pós de origem vegetal sobre *Acanthoscelides obtectus* (Say) (Coleoptera: Bruchidae) em Feijao armazenado. Neotropical Entomology 2003;32:145-9.
- [6] De Oliveira SJ, Vendramim J, Ribeiro EJ, Barbosa M. Bioatividade de diversos pós de origem vegetal em relação a *Sitophilus zeamais* Mots. (Coleoptera: Curculionidae). Ciência e Agrotecnologia 2003;27:1231-6.
- [7] Halstead DGH. External sex differences in stored-products Coleoptera. Bulletin of Entomological Research 1963;54:119-134.
- [8] SAS Institute. Language guide for personal computer release. 6.03 ed. SAS Institute, Cary, North Carolina, USA.1998;1028p.
- [9] Schoonhoven VA. Use of vegetable oils to protect stored beans from bruchid attack. Journal Economic Entomology 1978;71:245–256.
- [10] Busungu DG, Mushobozy DMK. The efficacy of various protectants against *Zabrotes subfasciatus* (Boh) Coleoptera, Bruchidae in common beans. Bean Research 1991 6:62–7.
- [11] Swella GB, Mushobozy DMK. Evaluation of the efficacy of protectants against cowpea bruchid *Callosobruchus maculatus* (F) on cowpea seeds (*Vigna unguiculata* (L.) Walp.).Plant Protection Science 2007;43:68–72.
- [12] Ramzan M. Efficacy of edible oils against pulse beetle, *Callosobruchus maculatus*. Journal of Insect Science 1994;7(1):37–9.
- [13] Jackai LEN, Asante SK. A case for the standardization of protocols used in screening cowpea *Vigna unguiculata* for resistance to

- Callosobruchus maculatus* (Fabricus) (Coleoptera: Bruchidae). Journal of Stored Product Research 2001;39:251-263
- [14] Olotuah OF, Ofuya TI, Aladesanwa RD. Effect of particle size on insecticidal activity of dusts of *Eugenia aromatica* and *Piper guineense* against *Callosobruchus maculatus* Fabricius. In formulation of Medicinal Plant in Plant and animal Production in Nigeria. Proceedings Humboldt Kellog/5<sup>th</sup> SAAT Annual conference, Federal University of Technology, Akure, Nigeria.2009;47-50 pp..
- [15] Olotuah OF, Ofuya TI, Aladesanwa RD. Effect of particle size on insecticidal activity of dusts of *Eugenia aromatica* and *Piper guineense* against *Callosobruchus maculatus*. Nigeria Journal of Plant Protection 2010;2:34-9.
- [16] [Ogunwolu EO, Idowu O. Potential of Powdered *Zanthoxylum zanthoxyloides* (Rutaceae) root bark and *Azadirachta indica* (Meliaceae) seed for the control of cowpea seed bruchid, *Callosobruchus maculatus* (Bruchidae) in Nigeria. Journal of African Zoology 1994;108:521-8.
- [17] Ofuya TI, Dawodu EO. Aspects of Insecticidal Action of *Piper guineense* Schum and Thonn Fruit Powders against *Callosobruchus maculatus* (F.) (Coleoptera: Bruchidae). Nigerian Journal of Entomology 2002;19:40 – 50.
- [18] Adedire CO, Lajide L. Efficacy of powders of some tropical plants in the control of the pulse beetle, *Callosobruchus maculatus* (F.) (Coleoptera: Bruchidae). Applied Tropical Agriculture 2001;6:11-5.
- [19] Boeke SL, Loon van JJA, Huis van A, Kossou DK, Dicke M. The use of plant materials to protect stored leguminous seed against seed beetles: A review. Netherlands: Backhuys Publishers. 2001. p.108.
- [20] Lale NES. An overview of the use of plant products in the management of stored product Coleoptera in the tropics. Post-Harvest News Information 1995;6:69-75.
- [21] Ofuya TI. Beans, insect and man. Inaugural Lecture Series 35, The Federal University of Technology, Akure, Nigeria, 2003. p.45.
- [22] Food and Agricultural Organisation (FAO). Botanical oils as grain protectants, In: The use of spices and medicinals as bioactive protectants for grains. FAO Agricultural Services Bulletin No.137. FAO,Viale delle Terme di Caracalla,00100 Rome, Italy.1999.

#### ACKNOWLEDGEMENT / SOURCE OF SUPPORT

Nil.

#### CONFLICT OF INTEREST

No conflict of interests was declared by authors.

## How to Submit Manuscripts

Since we use very fast review system, and since we are dedicated to publishing submitted articles with few weeks of submission, then the easiest and most reliable way of submitting a manuscript for publication in any of the journals from the publisher Research, Reviews and Publications (also known as Research | Reviews | Publications) is by sending an electronic copy of the well formatted manuscript as an email attachment to [rrpjournals@gmail.com](mailto:rrpjournals@gmail.com) or online at <http://www.rrpjournals.com/>.

Submissions are often acknowledged within 6 to 24 hours of submission and the review process normally starts within few hours later, except in the rear cases where we are unable to find the appropriate reviewer on time

Manuscripts are hardly rejected without first sending them for review, except in the cases where the manuscripts are poorly formatted and the author(s) have not followed the instructions for manuscript preparation which is available under the Instruction for Authors link at <http://www.rrpjournals.com/InstructionsForAuthors.html>.

Research | Reviews | Publications and its journals have so many unique features such as rapid and quality publication of excellent articles, bilingual publication, some of which are available at <http://www.rrpjournals.com/uniqueness.html>.